Tweets by @RickyPo

Richard Poynd
@RickyPo

Open access—is it the way forward?
link.springer.com/article/10.100…


I certainly do not want to decry the OA model of publishing, if the underlying intent is worthy and totally scientific. As warranted by Dom Mitchell, Operations Manager of Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), in an online post on 2 Oct, 2020, almost 63% of 15,259 journals indexed in DOAJ do not levy any article processing charge (APC). However, if economic expediency takes charge, which unfortunately seem to have done lately, with the sudden pandemic of 'Predatory journals', this model seems to be doing more harm than good to the scientific community, and even to the society at large. Here, the role of so-

2h

Richard Poynd
@RickyPo

Academic freedom has 'robust impact' on democracy in Africa
universityworldnews.com/post.php?story…

Acade…

The State of Open Access
Predatory publishing
Institutional Repositories
Green OA **Gold OA** **Self-archiving**
Copyright
Basement Interviews
OA Interviews

Wednesday, January 11, 2012

MIT Press distances itself from Research Works Act

There has been a great deal of discussion online recently about the Research Works Act (RWA), a new bill introduced into the US House of Representatives on December 16th by Representatives Carolyn Maloney (D-NY) and Darrell Issa (R-CA).

Effectively, the bill would reverse the NIH's Public Access Policy requiring taxpayer-funded research to be made freely accessible online, and prevent any other federal agency from introducing a similar requirement.

The RWA is backed by the Association of American Publishers (AAP) and its Professional and Scholarly Division (PSP). On 23rd December the AAP published a press release welcoming the introduction of the bill, which it described as "significant legislation that will help reinforce America's leadership in scholarly and scientific publishing in the public interest and in the critical peer-review system that safeguards the quality of such research."

If passed, the bill would be a major setback for the Open Access movement.

AAP has been widely criticised for supporting the RWA, and some have called on members of the association to disavow both the bill and AAP's support for it. There have also been calls for AAP members to resign in protest.

It appears that at least one publisher has been listening: MIT Press has just announced that it does not support the RWA, although it does not plan to leave the AAP.



A short while ago I received an email from Ellen Faran, the director of MIT Press. The email reads, "The AAP's press release on the Research Works Act does not reflect the position of the MIT Press; nor, I imagine, the position of many other scholarly presses whose mission is centrally focused on broad dissemination. We will not, however, withdraw from the AAP on this issue as we value the Association's work overall and the opportunity to participate as a member of the larger and diverse publishing community."

After receiving the same email message, OA advocate Peter Suber commented on the Global Open Access List (GOAL), "I believe MIT Press is the first AAP-member press to disavow the AAP position on the Research Works Act. Kudos and profound thanks to Ellen Faran and MIT Press for their leadership on this issue."

*****More on the RWA here, here, here,and here*****

Posted by Richard Poynder at 23:10

Labels: Mandates, MIT Press, Open Access, Peter Suber, Scholarly Publishing

No comments:

Post a Comment

Newer Post Home Older Post

Subscribe to: Post Comments (Atom)

- Home
- About Richard Poynder
- Blog: *Open and Shut?*
- The State of Open Access
- The Basement Interviews
- Open Access Interviews
- Essays on Open Access
- Archive

Blogs, News & More:
Interview 1: Richard Poynder
Interview 2: Richard Poynder
Interview 3: Richard Poynder
DOAJ
BASE
LENS
Digital Koans
LSE Impact Blog
Heather Morrison
The Scholarly Kitchen
Open Access India
PLoS Blogs
Redalyc
SPARC Europe
IP Watchdog

PayPal Donate

Search This Blog

Search

Popular Posts

Open Access: "Information wants to be free"?
(A print version of this eBook is available here) Earlier this year I was invited to discuss with Georgia Institute of Technology libraria...

P2P: The very core of the world to come
In the first part of this interview Michel Bauwens , the creator of The Foundation for P2P

OA Essays Open Access in Serbia Open Access in India Open Access in Egypt ScienceDirect Open Access in California OA in Latin America Open Access in the Humanities MDPI Preprints Selecting Reviewers Global Research Council OA Big Deal Open Notebook Science Elsevier Gates Foundation OA in South Africa OA in France SSRN OA & the Humanities Timothy Gowers Harold Varmus Peter Suber OA in Poland OA Embargoes Big Deal Finch Report Jeffrey Beall ALPSP OA Mandates PLOS Peer Review Springer BioMed Central Free Software Digital Preservation Dove Medical OA in Russia Radical OA Almost OA HEFCE Frontiers

Alternatives , explained why he believes the var...

PLOS CEO Alison Mudditt discusses new OA agreement with the University of California

The Public Library of Science (PLOS) and the University of California (UC) have today announced a two-year agreement designed to make...

The Open Access Interviews: Publisher MDPI

Headquartered in Basel, Switzerland, the Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, or more usually MDPI , is an open access publisher...

The OA Interviews: Taylor & Francis' Deborah Kahn discusses Dove Medical Press

Please note the postscript to this interview here The open-access publisher Dove Medical Press has a controversial past and I have writ...

Copyright: the immoveable barrier that open access advocates underestimated

In calling for research papers to be made freely available open access advocates promised that doing so would lead to a simpler, less cos...

The Open Access Interviews: OMICS Publishing Group's Srinu Babu Gedela

***Update: On August 26th 2016, the US government (Federal Trade Commission) announced that it has charged OMICS with making false claims, ...

Robin Osborne on the state of Open Access: Where are we, what still needs to be done?

One of a series exploring the current state of Open Access (OA), the Q&A below is with Robin Osborne , Professor of Ancient History a...

Community Action Publishing: Broadening the Pool

We are today seeing growing dissatisfaction with the pay-to-publish model for open access. As this requires authors (or their funders or ins...

Open Access: What should the priorities be today?

This year marks the 15 th anniversary of the Budapest Open Access Initiative (BOAI), the meeting that led to the launch of the open acce...

Blog Archive

- 2020 (4)
- 2019 (7)
- 2018 (20)
- 2017 (18)
- 2016 (14)
- 2015 (18)
- 2014 (13)
- 2013 (32)
- 2012 (43)
  - December (1)
  - November (1)
  - October (2)
  - September (2)
  - July (6)
  - June (4)
  - May (2)
  - April (2)
  - March (3)
  - February (7)
  - January (13)
    - Elsevier needs to get out more
    - The OA interviews: Francis Jayakanth of India's Na...
    - The Research Works Act: Two more dissenters
    - Springer Statement on the US Research Works Act
    - BioMed Central opposes Research Works Act
    - Library of Congress neutral on the Research Works Act
    - Nature Publishing Group and Digital Science do not...
    - Research Works Act: Cambridge University Press exp...

University of California Press differs from AAP o...

Can AAP Members stay neutral in the row over the R...

Pennsylvania State University Press says No to Res...

ITHAKA becomes the second AAP member to disavow th...

MIT Press distances itself from Research Works Act

2011 (22)

2010 (20)

2009 (22)

2008 (14)

2007 (9)

2006 (27)

2005 (31)

2004 (2)

Followers

Followers (117) Next



Follow

1,500 Pageviews
Oct. 21st - Nov. 21st



Open & Shut? by Richard Poynder is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 2.0 UK: England & Wales License.
Permissions beyond the scope of this license are available here

Website maintained by NARKAN. Powered by Blogger.